



# Black listing of contractor impermissible after losing an arbitration on the same issue



Black listing of a contractor is a weapon in the hands of the employer that can be used against a contractor for a breach of contract or any willful default to complete the project as per the

contract etc., Most of the contracts have such provisions to avoid a defaulted contractor bidding for another work. But in some cases, employers go ahead and use it like a punishing provision even after the issues between the parties are determined by a competent judicial authority. One such case **Patil Rail Infrastructure Private Limited Vs S.L.Gupta and Another (2019) SCC Online Del 6724** was recently on 25th January 2019, decided by Justice Mr Vibhu Bakhru of the Delhi High Court by beautifully written Judgment, deciding the sustainability of a notice for black listing issued after an arbitration award.

In the above said case the petitioner contractor received a show cause notice from IRCON seeking explanation from the contractor alleging various lapses on the part of the petitioner in production and supply of Railway sleepers at its plant at Thirumangalam, Tamil Nadu. The petitioner was the contractor for supply of

## LCI Articles

You can also submit your article by sending to [article@lawyersclubindia.com](mailto:article@lawyersclubindia.com)

[Submit article](#)

Search Articles



## Popular Picks

[What is Public Interest Litigation and how does it work in India?](#)

[Mere Suspension Of Sentence Or Grant Of Bail To The Accused Cannot Imply That The Conviction Ceases To Operate: Delhi High Court](#)

[Power of Review can be exercised only when the statute provides for the same](#)

[Judicial review by Supreme Court in contractual matter](#)

[University Bound To Provide Answer Sheets Under RTI: Madras HC](#)

[Regulation of Cryptocurrency](#)

[Supreme court explains tests to be followed while sentencing a criminal](#)

[Protected workman under ID Act](#)

[Plea of privacy cannot be a defence in gender test in matrimonial dispute: MP High Court](#)

[The impact of Arbitration and Conciliation \(Amendment\) Act, 2019](#)

[view more »](#)

Share



Railway Sleepers to Indian Railway through IRCON. The Petitioner contended that the impugned notice is wholly unsustainable in law since all disputes stands concluded by way of an arbitral award passed in favour of the petitioner. The Petitioner further contended that it is no longer open for IRCON to raise these issues again and initiate action of black listing against the Petitioner. The Respondent contended that the quality issues raised in the show-cause letter do not include the issues determined in the arbitration proceedings and hence IRCON is not precluded from taking action against the contractor.

The petitioner was the contractor for the manufacture, supply and transportation and delivery of Pre-Stressed Mono block concrete Sleepers (laying) track and special concrete sleepers for laying down Broad Gauge Railway track as per Indian Railway Specifications for the contracts of restoration of various railway lines in northern Province of Sri Lanka. On 17.06.2013 IRCON wrote a letter to the Petitioner stating that the railway sleepers supplied by the petitioner had developed cracks and demanded replacement of those sleepers. The petitioner contended that the material was supplied only after inspection and hence petitioner cannot be made responsible for the same. Arbitration was initiated by parties. Joint tests were conducted by the experts at IIT (Indian Institute of technology), Chennai. IIT certified that the said sleepers did not fail any test relating to any parameter and the report regarding the same was submitted to the Arbitral tribunal. Hence arbitral tribunal passed an award in favour of the petitioner holding that the petitioner was not responsible for the cracks that had developed in certain sleepers. The Application filed by IRCON under S.34 was also dismissed.

Delhi high court examined the contentions of parties and found that the issues raised in the show cause notice were also raised in the arbitration proceedings and were determined by the Arbitral tribunal. Hence, the Court set aside the impugned notice stating that it is not open to IRCON to raise the same issues



Browse by  
Category



Recent  
Comments



Popular  
Articles



**GST Law and Analysis**  
with conceptual procedures  
Author: Bimal Jain  
[BUY NOW](#)

#### Similar Forum Post

- **Commercial Court Act**
- **Regarding Esop**
- **section 47-A of stamp act 1899**
- **Lifting of corporate veil**
- **question**
- **Minimum amount of debt to drt on partnership firm**
- **Article on lifting of corporate veil**

[More »](#)

#### Subscribe to Articles Feed

Submit

#### Browse by Category

Business Law

Civil Law

Constitutional Law

Criminal Law

Family Law

Labour & Service Law

that were concluded in the Arbitration proceedings by way of a proceeding to black list the petitioner.

Legal Documents

Intellectual Property Rights

Property Law

Taxation

Students

Others



S. Ravi Shankar ●  
on 08 February 2019



Published in Corporate Law  
Views : 179

Other Articles by - S. Ravi Shankar

Report Abuse

← Previous

Next →

#### Recent Comments

Total: 1

Login to post comment



dr g balakrishnan 08 February 2019

Judgement is right , as the award is also decided on IIT (M) certification  
IRCON defitely lost the case

### Related Articles

- Seat - Venue of Arbitration & Place of subject matter
- Amendment to the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 (Amendment Bill)

### Other Latest Articles

- The impact of Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Act, 2019
- 5 Medical Errors That May Lead to a Lawsuit Against the Doctors

Lawyersclubindia  
104,687 likes  
LAWYERS CLUB INDIA  
Share your knowledge  
Interactive Platform  
Like Page  
Sign

Be the first of your friends to like this

2018)

- Implications of amendments made by SEBI to Listing Regulations, 2015
- Negotiating an International Arbitration Clause - Part 1
- Negotiating an International Arbitration Clause - Part 2
- Court cannot remand an arbitration award to the tribunal suo motu
- An analysis of SC's refusal to issue a blanket ban on sale of Firecrackers
- Delivery of Arbitration awards and Limitation to Challenge
- Technical Rules of evidence: Extent of applicability to arbitration proceedings
- Limitation to Challenge an arbitration award cannot be extended

[More »](#)

- Protected workman under ID Act
- Plea of privacy cannot be a defence in gender test in matrimonial dispute: MP High Court
- Supreme court explains tests to be followed while sentencing a criminal
- Judicial review by Supreme Court in contractual matter
- Mere Suspension Of Sentence Or Grant Of Bail To The Accused Cannot Imply That The Conviction Ceases To Operate: Delhi High Court
- Power of Review can be exercised only when the statute provides for the same
- University Bound To Provide Answer Sheets Under RTI: Madras HC
- What is Public Interest Litigation and how does it work in India?

[More »](#)

## MENU

[Jobs](#)

[Coaching](#)

[Events](#)

[Bare Acts](#)

[Bookmarks](#)

[Legal Dictionary](#)

[Files](#)

[Judiciary](#)

[Notifications](#)

[Poll](#)

[Video](#)

[Top Members](#)

[Forms](#)

[Scorecard](#)

[Today's](#)

[Birthdays](#)

[RSS](#)

[Rewards](#)

[Lawyers Search](#)

[About](#)

[We are Hiring](#)

[Advertise](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

[Disclaimer](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Contact Us](#)

Our Network Sites



 [Send Me Newsletter](#)



